appolar feld

Approved For Release 2005/08/03 : CIA-RDP80B01495R001100020017-3

XEBC

DLT-0588-64

16 June 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Intelligence

ATTENTION : Assistant Dapaty Director for Intelligence

SUBJECT: Issues Relating to the Contributions of ORR to a Report of the Joint Economic Committee of

the Congress

REFERENCE: Memorandum to the MDI from D/ORR, Same Subject,
Dated 20 May 1966

- l. The papers referred to in the reference memorandum have been reviewed in galley proof and are ready for final submission to the staff of the Joint Ecomomic Committee. If we were to proceed as we did when similar contributions were made in 1962, the corrected proofs would be returned to the JEC for final publication identifying the authors by name, but without any indication of their Agency affiliation. However, in view of the present concern of the Director with respect to the question of attribution, you probably wish to call this matter to the attention of the DDCI or the Executive Director-Comptroller. The issues involved are as follows:
 - a. The General Counsel, in a letter to the Chairman of the JEC, 30 December 1955, specifically requested that CIA not be identified as the source of the information furnished the Joint Committee. In a sense, this was academic in that very few of the authors, and none of those working for the Government, were identified with their parent organization in the 1962 report, nor was it planned that they would be identified in the forthcoming publication. However, the staff of the JEC is considering listing the agencies whose employees have contributed. This raises the question of whether this is acceptable to us.
 - b. If CIA is mentioned in the Foreword (JEC letter of transmittel), there will be considerable curiosity as to which of the authors are CIA employees. This could well present the JEC staff with inquiries from the press and other sources. It is also possible that certain articles

would stimulate enough interest to prompt such inquiries in any case. Therefore, the question arises as to what instructions we should give the JEC with respect to the linking of ORR authors with CTA.

25X 25X

c. I am informed by that a special question has arisen with respect to	
Cities of Director's firemental recording to the manufacture and t	
erticle entitled	=
The JEC staff is concerned that	
piece is provocative and will arouse considerable interest	_
thereby raising the question as to place of business	ş.,
The JEC is also aware of Fulbright's attitude on related	
matters such as the Carver article and wanted to alert	
the Agency to a possible problem on thepiece.	
This situation requires that we consider not only the	
question of the identification of as a CIA	
employee. but also the extent to which the material and	
conclusions presented by individual authors in this publi-	
cetton represent an Agency view. Speaking to the foregoin	3
questions seriatim, I would recommend the following:	

25X1

25X1

25X1

- (1) I would be inclined to accede to the suggestion of the JEC staff that CIA be listed in the Foreword as an Agency cooperating in this enterprise. I recommend this in the context of what I understand to be the Agency's new policy with respect to attribution. While it is not certain, I think the odds are that over the long-run, the quality of professional talent and research output in the DDI will be better understood outside of Government and will not only improve the image of the Agency, but will help us on a number of specific problems, including recruiting. If this recommendation is accepted, you should understand that there may well be short-run problems. The citation of CIA as an Agency whose employees have contributed to the JEC collection is likely to raise questions as to which of these employees are CIA and inevitably will bring bad publicity with the good, as well as questions and inquiries.
- (2) I recommend that we give the Joint Economic Committee staff the authority to reveal the affiliation of ORR authors with the Agency in response to legitimate inquiries. I would do this with the

25X1

25X1

25X1

exception of was recently

25X1

25X1

25X1

With this exception, I recommend the above course of action as one consistent with the long-run objectives of the Agency, but on this matter too we must accept certain immediate complications. Most of the authors involved are uneasy as to the possible consequences of this action. They believe that it would be awkward for many conference sponsors -- especially foreign sponsors -- to request their participation in scholarly meetings if they were openly identified as CIA employees. This would be particularly true, they feel, in conferences involving representatives from Communist countries. They also believe that it may complicate their contacts here in the United States. Undoubtedly, there will be problems, but it seems to me that the whole purpose of the new Agency policy is to build greater respect for the qualifications, ability, and the professional integrity of our personnel so that in the future they will be not only accepted, but sought after as participants in gatherings of this kind. As a secondary matter, we may have some flashback when our people are identified because of the fact that they have in the past participated in professional meetings both in the United States and abroad [

for these past "sins." On net balance, I think the long-run benefits will outweigh the short-run problems.

(3) With respect to erticle, I have read this over carefully. I think it is one of

-3-

Approved For Release 2005/08/03 : CIA-RDP80B01495R001100020017-3

the best pieces I have seen on the subject; it is provocative, and there are a couple of spots where there is colorful language, but I believe the erticle can only do us credit. He has discussed his thesis in the past with certain scholars specializing in the Soviet economy, including and they accept the merits of This does raise the question, however, his approach. as to whether authors of these articles are speaking as responsible analysts or whether they are representing official coordinated Acency positions. I and he believes have discussed this with the JEC staff understands that it is the former. However, to clarify this point, I have suggested to that he urge the JMC staff to make this clear in the Foreword. In summary, these affirmative recommendations are made in the light of anticipated long-term benefits, but are not without certain short-run complications. Director Research and Reports Distribution: Orig. and Vi - Addressee 2 - OD/ORR 1 - OGC/LC 1 - DD/ORR 1 - Ch/E(15 Jun 66) OD/ORR:

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

سياس